

- 1) Scope of certificate to be specified for 50k.
- 2) New addition of building – a) Architecture, & b) WGSMA for Hotel Management. New addition can be included in scope where to include in Internal audit, energy objectives, etc.
- 3) Risk assessment found one departments is ok, rest of departments are showing only severity.
- 4) Clause number in internal audit schedule to review as many clause found missing.
- 5) NC Closure writing may be improved further as “correction should not be futuristic”. Example old panel will be replaced in next financial budget, then this is not the correction – it is a Plan. Target time should be there when CA plan will be given to close.
- 6) New building addition in substations, internal audit may be included and report to be available considering clause 6 like Risk & opportunity, energy review, baseline, etc.
- 7) Technical comparison study for Monoblock pumps done for the year 2022-23 (as per budget), but for submersible pump and Airsource Heat pump is not done.
- 8) 500 kva & 750 kva DG set purchases considering resale value consideration. Fuel efficiency should also be one parameter and it should be clearly mentioned in comparison as remarks.
- 9) Legal register is well structured. But other requirements like GHG/Carbon foot print/carbon neutralization etc can be included as other requirements.
- 10) Graphical representation of energy review – a) year wise, b) SEU wise, etc may be helpful for better understanding.
- 11) Energy baseline is not available which year decided and reason. Review of energy baseline is also not available as changes/addition/deletion/alteration happened.
- 12) Earthing pit identification is not available at WGSMA building.
- 13) Calibration status of energy meter is not available at WGSMA & Architecture building.
- 14) Smoke detector should be available in UPS room.
- 15) Many energy objectives & targets are not measurable and not time bound.
- 16) Project delay is evident for CIF as well as New Kasturba Hospital, but reason for the delay is not evident.
- 17) Reduction for energy targets has taken 2.74 for new project, but reason why 2.74 is not known.
- 18) Details risk analysis should be available for each projects including energy risk. Further review of risk can be done when changes done in execution stage.